## A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at <a href="https://www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist">www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist</a>.

Reference of report	Yolanda Triñanes Pego, Antonio Rial Boubeta, Lucia Varela Reboiras, [et al]. Santiago de Compostela: Agencia Gallega para la Gestión del Conocimiento en Salud. Unidad de Asesoramiento Científico-técnico, avalia-t; Madrid: Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad. 2017. Available from https://avalia-t.sergas.gal/DXerais/775/avalia-t201701TIDY.pdf
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t
Name of person completing the checklist	Yolanda Triñanes Pego
Email	Avalia-t@serga.es

Email		F	walia-t@serga.e	:5						
Item						Y	'es	Partly	No	
Preliminary										
Appropriate contact details for further information?							Х			
2. Authors identified?						Х				
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?						Х				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?						Х				
5. Short summar	y in non	technical	language?						Х	
Why?										
6. Reference to t	he policy	/ question	that is addresse	ed?			Х			
7. Reference to t	he resea	arch quest	ion that is addre	ssed?			Х			
8. Scope of the a	assessm	ent specifi	ied?				Х			
9. Description of	the asse	essed hea	Ith technology?				Х			
How?							•			
10. Details on so	urces of	information	on and literature	search	strategies p	rovided'	?			
Search	Databases		Year	Language			mary		Other kind of	
strategy			range	re	estriction	d			mation ources	
YES	Y	ES	-2017		NO	Υ	ES	NO		
Complete refere	nce list				Inclusion		Ī	Exclusion		
of included studies excl		uded studies		criteria		criteria		ì		
YES			YES		YES		YES			
11. Information of										
extraction described? met ass		Critical appraisal		Method of data			Results of the			
		method (for quality synthesis describe assessment of the			bea?	assessment clearly presented, eg, in the f				
			e) described?				of evidence tables?			
YES	,		YES YES			YES				
Context?										
12. Information of	n contex	ct (may or i	may not apply to e	ach HT	Ä).					
(Medico-) legal			Ethical		Social		Other perspectives			
implications	analysis		implication		implications		(stakeholders, patients,			
considered? pr		ovided?	vided? considere		l? considered?		consumers) considered?			

YES	NO	YES	YES		YES				
What then?					Partly	No			
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?									
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?									
15. Suggestions for further action?									